



Audit Attestation for CERTIGNA

Reference: LSTI_AAL_23-1713_std_V1.0

Saint Malo, 2024-07-10

To whom it may concern,

This is to confirm that LSTI SAS has audited the CAs of CERTIGNA without critical findings.

This present Audit Attestation Letter is registered under the unique identifier number 23-1713_std_V1.0 and consists of 19 pages.

Kindly find here below the details accordingly.

In case of any question, please contact:

*LSTI Group
10 Avenue Anita Conti
35400 Saint-Malo, France
E-Mails: pbouchet@lsti.fr & cabforum@acab-c.com
Phone: +33 6 33 38 80 78*

With best regards,

Director

Director

This attestation is based on the template version 3.1 as of 2023-08-23, that was approved for use by ACAB-c.



General audit information

Identification of the conformity assessment body (CAB) and assessment organization acting as ETSI auditor
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• LSTI SAS, 10 Avenue Anita Conti, 35400 Saint-Malo – France, registered under n°453867863• LSTI Worldwide Limited, Clifton House – Fitzwilliam street lower, Dublin 2 – Ireland, registered under n°582309• Accredited by COFRAC under registration number 5-0546 in accordance with EN ISO/IEC 17065:2012 and in accordance with the eIDAS EU Regulation art. 3 (18) and the ETSI EN 319 403 v2.2.2. Detailed scope at https://www.cofrac.fr/• Insurance Carrier (BRG section 8.2): HISCOX SA• Third-party affiliate audit firms involved in the audit: None.
Identification and qualification of the audit team
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Number of team members: 3• Academic qualifications of team members: All team members have formal academic qualifications or professional training or extensive experience indicating general capability to carry out audits based on the knowledge given below and at least four years full time practical workplace experience in information technology, of which at least two years have been in a role or function relating to relevant trust services, public key infrastructure, information security including risk assessment/management, network security and physical security.• Additional competences of team members:• All team members have knowledge of<ol style="list-style-type: none">1) audit principles, practices and techniques in the field of CA/TSP audits gained in a training course of at least five days;2) the issues related to various areas of trust services, public key infrastructure, information security including risk assessment/management, network security and physical security;3) the applicable standards, publicly available specifications and regulatory requirements for CA/TSPs and other relevant publicly available specifications including standards for IT product evaluation; and4) the Conformity Assessment Body's processes.Furthermore, all team members have language skills appropriate for all organizational levels within the CA/TSP organization; note-taking, report-writing, presentation, and interviewing skills; and relevant personal attributes: objective, mature, discerning, analytical, persistent and realistic.• Professional training of team members: See “Additional competences of team members” above. Apart from that are all team members trained to demonstrate adequate competence in:<ol style="list-style-type: none">a) knowledge of the CA/TSP standards and other relevant publicly available specifications;b) understanding functioning of trust services and information security including network security issues;c) understanding of risk assessment and risk management from the business perspective;

- d) technical knowledge of the activity to be audited;
- e) general knowledge of regulatory requirements relevant to TSPs; and
- f) knowledge of security policies and controls.

- Types of professional experience and practical audit experience:
The CAB ensures, that its personnel performing audits maintains competence on the basis of appropriate education, training or experience; that all relevant experience is current and prior to assuming responsibility for performing as an auditor, the candidate has gained experience in the entire process of CA/TSP auditing. This experience shall have been gained by participating under supervision of lead auditors in a minimum of four TSP audits for a total of at least 20 days, including documentation review, on-site audit and audit reporting.
- Additional qualification and experience Lead Auditor:
On top of what is required for team members (see above), the Lead Auditor
 - a) has acted as auditor in at least three complete TSP audits;
 - b) has adequate knowledge and attributes to manage the audit process; and
 - c) has the competence to communicate effectively, both orally and in writing.
- Special skills or qualifications employed throughout audit:
None.
- Special Credentials, Designations, or Certifications:
All members are qualified and registered assessors within the accredited CAB.
Auditors code of conduct incl. independence statement:
Code of Conduct as of Annex A, ETSI EN 319 403 or ETSI EN 319 403-1 respectively.

Identification and qualification of the reviewer performing audit quality management

- Number of Reviewers/Audit Quality Managers involved independent from the audit team: 1
- The reviewer fulfils the requirements as described for the Audit Team Members above and has acted as an auditor in at least three complete CA/TSP audits.

Identification of the CA / Trust Service Provider (TSP):	CERTIGNA 20 Allée de la Râperie, 59 650 Villeneuve d'Ascq, France
--	--

Type of audit:	<input type="checkbox"/> Point in time audit <input type="checkbox"/> Period of time, after x month of CA operation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Period of time, full audit
Audit period covered for all policies:	2023-08-11 to 2024-04-12
Audit dates:	From 2024-04-08 to 2024-04-12 (on site)
Audit location:	CERTIGNA : 20 Allée de la Râperie, 59 650 Villeneuve d'Ascq (FRANCE) CIV ADC1: Parc d'activité du Melantois, Rue des Saules 59262 Sainghin-en-Mélantois (FRANCE) CIV ADC2: 486 Avenue Augusta Ada King, 59400 ANZIN (FRANCE)

Root 1: Certigna

Standards considered:	<p>European Standards:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ETSI EN 319 411-2 V2.5.1 (2023-10) • ETSI TS 119 411-6 V1.1.1 (2023-08) • ETSI EN 319 411-1 V1.4.1 (2023-10) • ETSI EN 319 401 V2.3.1 (2021-05) <p>CA Browser Forum Requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • EV Guidelines for TLS Server Certificates, version 1.8.1 • Baseline Requirements for TLS Server Certificates, version 2.0.2 • Code Signing Baseline Requirements, version 3.7.0 • Baseline Requirements for the Issuance and Management of Publicly-Trusted S/MIME Certificates, version 1.0.3 <p>Browser Policy Requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mozilla Root Store Policy, version 2.9 • Chrome Root Program Policy, version 1.5 • Microsoft Trusted Root Program • Apple Root Certificate Program <p>For the Trust Service Provider Conformity Assessment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ETSI EN 319 403 V2.2.2 (2015-08) • ETSI EN 319 403-1 V2.3.1 (2020-06) • ETSI TS 119 403-2 V1.3.1 (2023-03)
-----------------------	---

The audit was based on the following policy and practice statement documents of the CA / TSP:

- PC - FR - Certigna Root CA, version 4.6, as of 2024-04-05
- DPC - FR - Certigna Root CA, version 4.6, as of 2024-04-05
- PC - EN - Certigna Root CA, version 4.6, as of 2024-04-05
- DPC - EN - Certigna Root CA, version 4.6, as of 2024-04-05
- PC - EN - Certigna TLS CA, version 1.0, as of 2024-04-05
- DPC - EN - Certigna TLS CA, version 1.0, as of 2024-04-05
- PC - EN - Certigna SMIME CA, version 1.0, as of 2024-04-05
- DPC - EN - Certigna SMIME CA, version 1.0, as of 2024-04-05
- PC - EN - Certigna Code Signing CA, version 1.0, as of 2024-04-05
- DPC - EN - Certigna Code Signing CA, version 1.0, as of 2024-04-05
- CGVU - FR - Certigna, version 3.2, as of 2024-04-08
- CGVU - EN - Certigna, version 3.2, as of 2023-05-22

No major non-conformities have been identified during the audit.

In the following areas, non-conformities have been identified throughout the audit:

Findings with regard to ETSI EN 319 401:

- [2] 7.2 Human resources [REQ-7.2-03]
Training of RA operators shall be improved.
- [7] 6.3 Information security policy [REQ-6.3-07]
Planification of the periodic review of the information security policy shall be improved.
- [8] 7.1.2 Segregation of duties [REQ-7.1.2-01]
Documentation shall be improved.
- [10] 7.9 Incident management [REQ-7.9-10]
The Vulnerability Management Procedure shall be improved.

Findings with regard to ETSI EN 319 411-1:

- [4] 7.1 Certificate policy management [OVR-7.1-02]
Documentation of the OIDs in the terms and conditions shall be improved.
- [11] 6.3.4 Certificate acceptance [OVR-6.3.4-01]
Conditions of certificate acceptance and new Terms and conditions acceptance in the context of the use of ACME shall be improved in the Terms and Conditions.
- [12] 6.3.5 Key pair and certificate usage [OVR-6.3.5-01 j]
Obligations that the private key must be no longer in use when the issuing CA has been compromised shall be more precisely described within the CPS.
- [13] 6.9.2 Additional testing [OVR-6.9.2-01C]
The scope of testing certificates in the CPS shall be more precisely described.
- [18] 6.6.3 OCSP Profile [CSS-6.6.3-01B]
OCSP certificates profiles implementation shall be improved.
- [20] 6.3.1 Certificate application [REG-6.3.1-00D]
The persistence of the Identity validation should be more precisely described within the CPS.
- [22] 6.6.1 Certificate Profile [GEN-6.6.1-02]
The RA procedure shall be improved regarding the "OrganizationName" field of legal entity certificates.

Findings with regard to Network and Certificate System Security Requirements:

- [24] 2. Trusted Roles, Delegated Third Parties, and System Accounts [2.g.4]
Implementation of periodic password change shall be improved.

All non-conformities have been closed before the issuance of this attestation.

This Audit Attestation also covers the following incidents as described in the following.

- Bug 1883416, Certigna: TLS certificates with Basic constraint non-critical
https://bugzilla.mozilla.org/show_bug.cgi?id=1883416
- Bug 1886442, Certigna: Revocation delay for TLS certificates with basic constraint not marked as critical https://bugzilla.mozilla.org/show_bug.cgi?id=1886442

The remediation measures taken by Certigna as described on Bugzilla (see link above) have been checked by the auditors and properly addressed the incident

Root 1: Certigna

Distinguished Name	SHA-256 fingerprint	Applied policy
CN=Certigna, O=Dhimyotis, C=FR	E3B6A2DB2ED7CE48842F7AC53241C7B71D541 44BFB40C11F3F1D0B42F5EEA12D	ETSI EN 319 411-1 V1.4.1, LCP, NCP+, OVCP, ETSI EN 319 411-2 V2.5.1, QEVCP-w, QNCP-w, QCP-I, QCP-n, QCP- I-qscd, QCP-n-qscd

Table 1: Root-CA 1 in scope of the audit

The TSP named the Sub-CAs that have been issued by the aforementioned Root-CA, that are listed in the following table and that have been covered in this audit.

Distinguished Name	SHA-256 fingerprint	Applied policy
CN = Certigna Entity CA, 2.5.4.97 = NTRFR-48146308100036, OU = 0002 48146308100036, O = DHIMYOTIS, C = FR	EBBF4DC600C17DA04381DEFDCFC119C3F34E FB4A04D0860910B813C7792D7585	ETSI EN 319 411-1 V1.4.1, LCP, ETSI EN 319 411-2 V2.5.1, QCP-I, QCP-I-qscd, 1.2.250.1.177.1.0.1.2
CN = Certigna Entity Code Signing CA, 2.5.4.97 = NTRFR-48146308100036, OU = 0002 48146308100036, O = DHIMYOTIS, C = FR	935061BE52C8EA88C034B39ADFD522BB314CB F5304E5A7064735DDBDA3242AAF	ETSI EN 319 411-1 V1.4.1, LCP, ETSI EN 319 411-2 V2.5.1, QCP-I-qscd, 1.2.250.1.177.1.0.1.2
CN = Certigna Services CA, 2.5.4.97 = NTRFR-48146308100036, OU = 0002 48146308100036, O = DHIMYOTIS, C = FR	71E653BFBF5E72515B4099BBD5EC8872812B47 C6EC1FA9ADD327E1C92C9EA16D	ETSI EN 319 411-1 V1.4.1, OVCP, ETSI EN 319 411-2 V2.5.1, QEVCP-w, QNCP-w, 1.2.250.1.177.1.0.1.2
CN = Certigna Wild CA, 2.5.4.97 = NTRFR- 48146308100036, OU = 0002 48146308100036, O = DHIMYOTIS, C = FR	211F3083B9E77A01D0828565897A1CE945EEAA E04942CCC369087D8080C9E4A6	ETSI EN 319 411-1 V1.4.1, OVCP, 1.2.250.1.177.1.0.1.2
CN = Certigna Identity CA, 2.5.4.97 = NTRFR-48146308100036, OU = 0002 48146308100036, O = DHIMYOTIS, C = FR	23BCD5D7A96A513A981EAD27936E59A8028A8 07BD72860418F68B555A2911670	ETSI EN 319 411-1 V1.4.1, LCP, 1.2.250.1.177.1.0.1.2
CN = Certigna Identity Plus CA, 2.5.4.97 = NTRFR-48146308100036, OU = 0002 48146308100036, O = DHIMYOTIS, C = FR	02C4A300A09C1B893B11F9567659AF95BBB9BB E7953893E36C5BAF17B555CEE3	ETSI EN 319 411-1 V1.4.1, NCP+, ETSI EN 319 411-2 V2.5.1, QCP-n, QCP-n-qscd 1.2.250.1.177.1.0.1.2
CN = Certigna Server Authentication ACME CA G1, 2.5.4.97 = NTRFR- 48146308100036, O = Certigna, C = FR	B1C8E470CA1F4885DDDEE0FE80B805F2CA823 770EC6071C1CCEB77F70A0FB6C2	ETSI EN 319 411-1 V1.4.1, OVCP 1.2.250.1.177.1.0.1.2
CN = Certigna Server Authentication ACME FR CA G1, 2.5.4.97 = NTRFR- 48146308100036, O = Certigna, C = FR	CA1FF54E031186F9006BB148F8CE6A53F05742 A4B6CE8FFE79DFD2AE471EBAA5	ETSI EN 319 411-1 V1.4.1, OVCP 1.2.250.1.177.1.0.1.2

Table 2: Sub-CA's issued by the Root-CA 1 or its Sub-CA's in scope of the audit

Root 2: Certigna Root CA

Standards considered:	<p>European Standards:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ETSI EN 319 411-2 V2.5.1 (2023-10) • ETSI TS 119 411-6 V1.1.1 (2023-08) • ETSI EN 319 411-1 V1.4.1 (2023-10) • ETSI EN 319 401 V2.3.1 (2021-05) <p>CA Browser Forum Requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • EV Guidelines for TLS Server Certificates, version 1.8.1 • Baseline Requirements for TLS Server Certificates, version 2.0.2 • Code Signing Baseline Requirements, version 3.7.0 • Baseline Requirements for the Issuance and Management of Publicly-Trusted S/MIME Certificates, version 1.0.3 <p>Browser Policy Requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mozilla Root Store Policy, version 2.9 • Chrome Root Program Policy, version 1.5 • Microsoft Trusted Root Program • Apple Root Certificate Program <p>For the Trust Service Provider Conformity Assessment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ETSI EN 319 403 V2.2.2 (2015-08) • ETSI EN 319 403-1 V2.3.1 (2020-06) • ETSI TS 119 403-2 V1.3.1 (2023-03)
-----------------------	---

The audit was based on the following policy and practice statement documents of the CA / TSP:

- PC - FR - Certigna Root CA, version 4.6, as of 2024-04-05
- DPC - FR - Certigna Root CA, version 4.6, as of 2024-04-05
- PC - EN - Certigna Root CA, version 4.6, as of 2024-04-05
- DPC - EN - Certigna Root CA, version 4.6, as of 2024-04-05
- PC - EN - Certigna TLS CA, version 1.0, as of 2024-04-05
- DPC - EN - Certigna TLS CA, version 1.0, as of 2024-04-05
- PC - EN - Certigna SMIME CA, version 1.0, as of 2024-04-05
- DPC - EN - Certigna SMIME CA, version 1.0, as of 2024-04-05
- PC - EN - Certigna Code Signing CA, version 1.0, as of 2024-04-05
- DPC - EN - Certigna Code Signing CA, version 1.0, as of 2024-04-05
- CGVU - FR - Certigna, version 3.2, as of 2024-04-08
- CGVU - EN - Certigna, version 3.2, as of 2023-05-22

No major non-conformities have been identified during the audit.

In the following areas, non-conformities have been identified throughout the audit:

Findings with regard to ETSI EN 319 401:

- [2] 7.2 Human resources [REQ-7.2-03]
Training of RA operators shall be improved.
- [7] 6.3 Information security policy [REQ-6.3-07]
Planification of the periodic review of the information security policy shall be improved.
- [8] 7.1.2 Segregation of duties [REQ-7.1.2-01]
Documentation shall be improved.
- [10] 7.9 Incident management [REQ-7.9-10]
The Vulnerability Management Procedure shall be improved.

Findings with regard to ETSI EN 319 411-1:

- [4] 7.1 Certificate policy management [OVR-7.1-02]
Documentation of the OIDs in the terms and conditions shall be improved.
- [11] 6.3.4 Certificate acceptance [OVR-6.3.4-01]
Conditions of certificate acceptance and new Terms and conditions acceptance in the context of the use of ACME shall be improved in the Terms and Conditions.
- [12] 6.3.5 Key pair and certificate usage [OVR-6.3.5-01 j]
Obligations that the private key must be no longer in use when the issuing CA has been compromised shall be more precisely described within the CPS.
- [13] 6.9.2 Additional testing [OVR-6.9.2-01C]
The scope of testing certificates in the CPS shall be more precisely described.
- [18] 6.6.3 OCSP Profile [CSS-6.6.3-01B]
OCSP certificates profiles implementation shall be improved.
- [20] 6.3.1 Certificate application [REG-6.3.1-00D]
The persistence of the Identity validation should be more precisely described within the CPS.
- [22] 6.6.1 Certificate Profile [GEN-6.6.1-02]
The RA procedure shall be improved regarding the "OrganizationName" field of legal entity certificates.

Findings with regard to Network and Certificate System Security Requirements:

- [24] 2. Trusted Roles, Delegated Third Parties, and System Accounts [2.g.4]
Implementation of periodic password change shall be improved.

All non-conformities have been closed before the issuance of this attestation.

This Audit Attestation also covers the following incidents as described in the following.

- Bug 1883416, Certigna: TLS certificates with Basic constraint non-critical
https://bugzilla.mozilla.org/show_bug.cgi?id=1883416
- Bug 1886442, Certigna: Revocation delay for TLS certificates with basic constraint not marked as critical https://bugzilla.mozilla.org/show_bug.cgi?id=1886442

The remediation measures taken by Certigna as described on Bugzilla (see link above) have been checked by the auditors and properly addressed the incident

Root 2: Certigna Root CA

Distinguished Name	SHA-256 fingerprint	Applied policy
CN = Certigna Root CA, OU=0002 48146308100036, O=Dhimyotis, C=FR	D48D3D23EEDB50A459E55197601C27774 B9D7B18C94D5A059511A10250B93168	ETSI EN 319 411-1 V1.4.1, LCP, NCP+, OVCP, ETSI EN 319 411-2 V2.5.1, QEVCP-w, QNCP-w, QCP-l, QCP-n, QCP-l-qscd, QCP- n-qscd

Table 3: Root-CA 2 in scope of the audit

The TSP named the Sub-CAs that have been issued by the aforementioned Root-CA, that are listed in the following table and that have been covered in this audit.

Distinguished Name	SHA-256 fingerprint	Applied policy
CN = Certigna Entity CA, 2.5.4.97 = NTRFR- 48146308100036, OU = 0002 48146308100036, O = DHIMYOTIS, C = FR	1CC358A6DFA0A76BB5470660D78F3B25F 23CCD6395667E49CCFC8201DA3D192D	ETSI EN 319 411-1 V1.4.1, LCP, ETSI EN 319 411-2 V2.5.1, QCP-l, QCP-l-qscd, 1.2.250.1.177.2.0.1.1
CN = Certigna Entity Code Signing CA, 2.5.4.97 = NTRFR-48146308100036, OU = 0002 48146308100036, O = DHIMYOTIS, C = FR	781ACD209D3B873F148F5DB31C680ADA DCED40238D8C1BF1A2D553391FA5D0F3	ETSI EN 319 411-1 V1.4.1, LCP, ETSI EN 319 411-2 V2.5.1, QCP-l-qscd, 1.2.250.1.177.2.0.1.1
CN = FR03, OU = 0002 48146308100036, O = DHIMYOTIS, C = FR	080E7E36B3C7FA96ECC67DB7F4D41CEC E1D194401AF196A4A47B79BDC4970574	ETSI EN 319 411-1 V1.4.1, LCP, 1.2.250.1.177.2.0.1.1
CN = Certigna Services CA, 2.5.4.97 = NTRFR- 48146308100036, OU = 0002 48146308100036, O = DHIMYOTIS, C = FR	07F2CE55CA1AA6CB992719B1E423C1D0 2C1EA759A6E2EAB4E150C88282E22550	ETSI EN 319 411-1 V1.4.1, OVCP, ETSI EN 319 411-2 V2.5.1, QEVCP-w, QNCP-w, 1.2.250.1.177.2.0.1.1
CN = Certigna Wild CA, 2.5.4.97 = NTRFR- 48146308100036, OU = 0002 48146308100036, O = DHIMYOTIS, C = FR	B8D5D65C23FF9D8C902FFE6BEC1DD2F2 0693AF20E98AE47751F1ECB298127B6E	ETSI EN 319 411-1 V1.4.1, OVCP, 1.2.250.1.177.2.0.1.1
CN = Certigna Identity CA, 2.5.4.97 = NTRFR- 000248146308100036, OU = 0002 48146308100036, O = DHIMYOTIS, C = FR	B5E4491CF1E0A06C19441FAC295B67822 6429603FCC414C626E210B2EFC95F00	ETSI EN 319 411-1 V1.4.1, LCP, 1.2.250.1.177.2.0.1.1
CN = Certigna Identity Plus CA, 2.5.4.97 = NTRFR-48146308100036, OU = 0002 48146308100036, O = DHIMYOTIS, C = FR	736B996D339684729C43CB397D1BB2B2F 3F4A7816A5E3C5D589203F885C5D47C	ETSI EN 319 411-1 V1.4.1, NCP+, ETSI EN 319 411-2 V2.5.1, QCP-n, QCP-n-qscd 1.2.250.1.177.2.0.1.1
CN = Certigna Server Authentication ACME CA G2, 2.5.4.97 = NTRFR-48146308100036, O = Certigna, C = FR	60FD1DF177AB8EDE87472E8E728307F56 EC41A60CCAD794C4FDB6D1CB7D40D56	ETSI EN 319 411-1 V1.4.1, OVCP 1.2.250.1.177.2.0.1.1
CN = Certigna Server Authentication ACME FR CA G2, 2.5.4.97=NTRFR-48146308100036, O = Certigna, C = FR	4E42E2D88C4E4DB5919C79004D1C9C0E 9C2D6FBB453DA1D642D3D30F78C0572E	ETSI EN 319 411-1 V1.4.1, OVCP 1.2.250.1.177.2.0.1.1

Table 4: Sub-CA's issued by the Root-CA 2 or its Sub-CA's in scope of the audit

Root 3: Certigna Server Authentication Root CA

Standards considered:	<p>European Standards:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ETSI EN 319 411-2 V2.5.1 (2023-10) • ETSI EN 319 411-1 V1.4.1 (2023-10) • ETSI EN 319 401 V2.3.1 (2021-05) <p>CA Browser Forum Requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Baseline Requirements for TLS Server Certificates, version 2.0.2 <p>Browser Policy Requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mozilla Root Store Policy, version 2.9 • Chrome Root Program Policy, version 1.5 • Microsoft Trusted Root Program • Apple Root Certificate Program <p>For the Trust Service Provider Conformity Assessment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ETSI EN 319 403 V2.2.2 (2015-08) • ETSI EN 319 403-1 V2.3.1 (2020-06) • ETSI TS 119 403-2 V1.3.1 (2023-03)
-----------------------	--

The audit was based on the following policy and practice statement documents of the CA / TSP:

- PC - EN - Certigna TLS CA, version 1.0, as of 2024-04-05
- DPC - EN - Certigna TLS CA, version 1.0, as of 2024-04-05
- CGVU - FR - Certigna, version 3.2, as of 2024-04-08
- CGVU - EN - Certigna, version 3.2, as of 2023-05-22

In the following areas, non-conformities have been identified throughout the audit:

Findings with regard to ETSI EN 319 401:

- [1] 6.1 Trust Service Practice statement [REQ-6.1-07]
Deployment of new CAS shall be improved
- [2] 7.2 Human resources [REQ-7.2-03]
Training of RA operators shall be improved.
- [7] 6.3 Information security policy [REQ-6.3-07]
Planification of the periodic review the information security policy shall be improved.
- [8] 7.1.2 Segregation of duties [REQ-7.1.2-01]
Documentation shall be improved.
- [9] 7.9 Incident management [REQ-7.9-04]
The supervision of the availability of new CAs need to be improved.
- [10] 7.9 Incident management [REQ-7.9-10]
The Vulnerability Management Procedure shall be improved.

Findings with regard to ETSI EN 319 411-1:

- [4] 7.1 Certificate policy management [OVR-7.1-02]
Documentation of the OIDs in the terms and conditions shall be improved.
- [11] 6.3.4 Certificate acceptance [OVR-6.3.4-01]
Conditions of certificate acceptance and new terms and conditions acceptance in the context of the use of ACME shall be improved in the Terms and Conditions.
- [12] 6.3.5 Key pair and certificate usage [OVR-6.3.5-01 j]

Obligations that the private key must be no longer in use when the issuing CA has been compromised shall be more precisely described within the CPS.

[13] 6.9.2 Additional testing [OVR-6.9.2-01C]

The scope of testing certificates in the CPS shall be more precisely described.

[18] 6.6.3 OCSP Profile [CSS-6.6.3-01B]

OCSP certificates profiles implementation shall be improved.

[20] 6.3.1 Certificate application [REG-6.3.1-00D]

The persistence of the Identity validation should be more precisely described within the CPS.

[22] 6.6.1 Certificate Profile [GEN-6.6.1-02]

The RA procedure shall be improved regarding the "OrganizationName" field of legal entity certificates.

[23] 5.2 Certificate Practice Statement requirements [OVR-5.2-04]

Documentation for new certificates regarding the signature algorithm description shall be improved.

Findings with regard to Network and Certificate System Security Requirements:

[24] 2. Trusted Roles, Delegated Third Parties, and System Accounts [2.g.4]

Implementation of periodic password change shall be improved.

All non-conformities have been closed before the issuance of this attestation.

To the best of our knowledge, no incidents have occurred within this Root-CA's hierarchy during the audited period.

Root 3: Certigna Server Authentication Root CA

Distinguished Name	SHA-256 fingerprint	Applied policy
CN=Certigna Server Authentication Root CA, O=Certigna, C=FR	38E5A7047A3BA80DF9CBA23F96A5E9BD8 BBC937D0E5D739DEEDA9A384542D17A	ETSI EN 319 411-1 V1.4.1, OVCP ETSI EN 319 411-2 V2.5.1, QNCP-w

Table 5: Root-CA 3 in scope of the audit

The TSP named the Sub-CAs that have been issued by the aforementioned Root-CA, that are listed in the following table and that have been covered in this audit.

Distinguished Name	SHA-256 fingerprint	Applied policy
CN=Certigna Server Authentication CA, 2.5.4.97=NTRFR-48146308100036, O=Certigna, C=FR	F5B4F968DB2AE25141F5AB06A8CF521B C0A116FB122B0627FFDD2FB990D8CAD4	ETSI EN 319 411-1 V1.4.1, OVCP, ETSI EN 319 411-2 V2.5.1, QNCP-w
CN=Certigna Server Authentication Auto CA, 2.5.4.97=NTRFR-48146308100036, O=Certigna, C=FR	5AC4F884B6332F7D128F6695CE46F8819 22A58FC3D65E9D718EBB2B1CFC658AA	ETSI EN 319 411-1 V1.4.1, OVCP
CN=Certigna Server Authentication Auto FR CA, 2.5.4.97 = NTRFR-48146308100036, O=Certigna, C=FR	8AE04D0DB06176FAD66F95B14903AA076 8361AC4F4B21F3D93AF246519BB0AE4	ETSI EN 319 411-1 V1.4.1, OVCP

Table 6: Sub-CA's issued by the Root-CA 3 or its Sub-CA's in scope of the audit

Root 4: Certigna Email Protection Root CA

Standards considered:	<p>European Standards:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ETSI EN 319 411-2 V2.5.1 (2023-10) • ETSI TS 119 411-6 V1.1.1 (2023-08) • ETSI EN 319 411-1 V1.4.1 (2023-10) • ETSI EN 319 401 V2.3.1 (2021-05) <p>CA Browser Forum Requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Baseline Requirements for the Issuance and Management of Publicly-Trusted S/MIME Certificates, version 1.0.3 • <p>Browser Policy Requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mozilla Root Store Policy, version 2.9 • Chrome Root Program Policy, version 1.5 • Microsoft Trusted Root Program • Apple Root Certificate Program <p>For the Trust Service Provider Conformity Assessment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ETSI EN 319 403 V2.2.2 (2015-08) • ETSI EN 319 403-1 V2.3.1 (2020-06) • ETSI TS 119 403-2 V1.3.1 (2023-03)
-----------------------	---

The audit was based on the following policy and practice statement documents of the CA / TSP:

- PC - EN - Certigna SMIME CA, version 1.0, as of 2024-04-05
- DPC - EN - Certigna SMIME CA, version 1.0, as of 2024-04-05
- CGVU - FR - Certigna, version 3.2, as of 2024-04-08
- CGVU - EN - Certigna, version 3.2, as of 2023-05-22

In the following areas, non-conformities have been identified throughout the audit:

Findings with regard to ETSI EN 319 401:

- [1] 6.1 Trust Service Practice statement [REQ-6.1-07]
Deployment of new CAS shall be improved
- [2] 7.2 Human resources [REQ-7.2-03]
Training of RA operators shall be improved.
- [7] 6.3 Information security policy [REQ-6.3-07]
Planification of the periodic review the information security policy shall be improved.
- [8] 7.1.2 Segregation of duties [REQ-7.1.2-01]
Documentation shall be improved.
- [9] 7.9 Incident management [REQ-7.9-04]
The supervision of the availability of new CAs need to be improved.
- [10] 7.9 Incident management [REQ-7.9-10]
The Vulnerability Management Procedure shall be improved.

Findings with regard to ETSI EN 319 411-1:

- [4] 7.1 Certificate policy management [OVR-7.1-02]
Documentation of the OIDs in the terms and conditions shall be improved.
- [12] 6.3.5 Key pair and certificate usage [OVR-6.3.5-01 j]
Obligations that the private key must be no longer in use when the issuing CA has been compromised shall be more precisely described within the CPS.
- [13] 6.9.2 Additional testing [OVR-6.9.2-01C]
The scope of testing certificates in the CPS shall be more precisely described.
- [18] 6.6.3 OCSP Profile [CSS-6.6.3-01B]
OCSP certificates profiles implementation shall be improved.
- [20] 6.3.1 Certificate application [REG-6.3.1-00D]
The persistence of the Identity validation should be more precisely described within the CPS.
- [22] 6.6.1 Certificate Profile [GEN-6.6.1-02]
The RA procedure shall be improved regarding the "OrganizationName" field of legal entity certificates.
- [23] 5.2 Certificate Practice Statement requirements [OVR-5.2-04]
Documentation for new certificates regarding the signature algorithm description shall be improved.

Findings with regard to Network and Certificate System Security Requirements:

- [24] 2. Trusted Roles, Delegated Third Parties, and System Accounts [2.g.4]
Periodic password change on the user's workstations is required with a period less than two years.

All non-conformities have been closed before the issuance of this attestation.

To the best of our knowledge, no incidents have occurred within this Root-CA's hierarchy during the audited period.

Root 4: Certigna Email Protection Root CA

Distinguished Name	SHA-256 fingerprint	Applied policy
CN = Certigna Email Protection Root CA O = Certigna, C = FR	C0C816BC038F0226227F083B03FB0F4EE6 77C962DC19E958BA329E3B7A90CCB1	ETSI EN 319 411-1 V1.4.1, LCP ETSI EN 319 411-2 V2.5.1, QCP-I, QCP-n, QCP-n-qscd

Table 7: Root-CA 4 in scope of the audit

The TSP named the Sub-CAs that have been issued by the aforementioned Root-CA, that are listed in the following table and that have been covered in this audit.

Distinguished Name	SHA-256 fingerprint	Applied policy
CN = Certigna Email Protection Natural Person CA, 2.5.4.97 = NTRFR-48146308100036, O = Certigna, C = FR	DB5BEB1CC21662FCD645DA9856D76F42 CA833CE46DF0399D8DCCC4ADB4D107D7	ETSI EN 319 411-1 V1.4.1, LCP ETSI EN 319 411-2 V2.5.1, QCP-n, QCP-n-qscd
CN = Certigna Email Protection Legal Person CA, 2.5.4.97 = NTRFR-48146308100036, O = Certigna, C = FR	7B6F9FFBAE220E1E5209770B06ACA49D0 B0F61E4C7D5DF3CEAE6C19768398981	ETSI EN 319 411-1 V1.4.1, LCP ETSI EN 319 411-2 V2.5.1, QCP-I

Table 8: Sub-CA's issued by the Root-CA 4 or its Sub-CA's in scope of the audit

Root 5: Certigna Code Signing Root CA

Standards considered:	<p>European Standards:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ETSI EN 319 411-2 V2.5.1 (2023-10) • ETSI EN 319 411-1 V1.4.1 (2023-10) • ETSI EN 319 401 V2.3.1 (2021-05) <p>CA Browser Forum Requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Code Signing Baseline Requirements, version 3.7.0 <p>Browser Policy Requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mozilla Root Store Policy v2.9 • Chrome Root Program Policy v1.5 • Microsoft Trusted Root Program • Apple Root Certificate Program <p>For the Trust Service Provider Conformity Assessment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ETSI EN 319 403 V2.2.2 (2015-08) • ETSI EN 319 403-1 V2.3.1 (2020-06) • ETSI TS 119 403-2 V1.3.1 (2023-03)
-----------------------	---

The audit was based on the following policy and practice statement documents of the CA / TSP:

- PC - EN - Certigna Code Signing CA, version 1.0, as of 2024-04-05
- DPC - EN - Certigna Code Signing CA, version 1.0, as of 2024-04-05
- CGVU - FR - Certigna, version 3.2, as of 2024-04-08
- CGVU - EN - Certigna, version 3.2, as of 2023-05-22

In the following areas, non-conformities have been identified throughout the audit:

Findings with regard to ETSI EN 319 401:

- [1] 6.1 Trust Service Practice statement [REQ-6.1-07]
Deployment of new CAS shall be improved
- [2] 7.2 Human resources [REQ-7.2-03]
Training of RA operators shall be improved.
- [7] 6.3 Information security policy [REQ-6.3-07]
Planification of the periodic review the information security policy shall be improved.
- [8] 7.1.2 Segregation of duties [REQ-7.1.2-01]
Documentation shall be improved.
- [9] 7.9 Incident management [REQ-7.9-04]
The supervision of the availability of new CAs need to be improved.
- [10] 7.9 Incident management [REQ-7.9-10]
The Vulnerability Management Procedure shall be improved.

Findings with regard to ETSI EN 319 411-1:

- [4] 7.1 Certificate policy management [OVR-7.1-02]
Documentation of the OIDs in the terms and conditions shall be improved.
- [12] 6.3.5 Key pair and certificate usage [OVR-6.3.5-01 j]
Obligations that the private key must be no longer in use when the issuing CA has been compromised shall be more precisely described within the CPS.
- [13] 6.9.2 Additional testing [OVR-6.9.2-01C]
The scope of testing certificates in the CPS shall be more precisely described.
- [18] 6.6.3 OCSP Profile [CSS-6.6.3-01B]
OCSP certificates profiles implementation shall be improved.
- [20] 6.3.1 Certificate application [REG-6.3.1-00D]
The persistence of the Identity validation should be more precisely described within the CPS.
- [22] 6.6.1 Certificate Profile [GEN-6.6.1-02]
The RA procedure shall be improved regarding the "OrganizationName" field of legal entity certificates.
- [23] 5.2 Certificate Practice Statement requirements [OVR-5.2-04]
Documentation for new certificates regarding the signature algorithm description shall be improved.

Findings with regard to Network and Certificate System Security Requirements:

- [24] 2. Trusted Roles, Delegated Third Parties, and System Accounts [2.g.4]
Periodic password change on the user's workstations is required with a period less than two years.

All non-conformities have been closed before the issuance of this attestation.

To the best of our knowledge, no incidents have occurred within this Root-CA's hierarchy

Root 5: Certigna Code Signing Root CA

Distinguished Name	SHA-256 fingerprint	Applied policy
CN = Certigna Code Signing Root CA, O = Certigna, C = FR	96C69F1BEB79373753839AC5B2514036061 64FB209D7C95FACD918B4D392A15C	ETSI EN 319 411-2 V2.5.1, QCP-I, QCP-I-qscd

Table 9: Root-CA 5 in scope of the audit

The TSP named the Sub-CAs that have been issued by the aforementioned Root-CA, that are listed in the following table and that have been covered in this audit.

Distinguished Name	SHA-256 fingerprint	Applied policy
CN = Certigna Code Signing CA, 2.5.4.97 = NTRFR-48146308100036, O = Certigna, C = FR	ADA03D6147F1F2C142306872BFB3450C97 9C7E75AC3CC1FF1F5B53955A1A4B0A	ETSI EN 319 411-2 V2.5.1, QCP-I, QCP-I-qscd

Table 10: Sub-CA's issued by the Root-CA 5 or its Sub-CA's in scope of the audit



Modifications record

Version	Issuing Date	Changes
Version 1	2024-07-10	Initial attestation

End of the audit attestation letter.

This attestation is based on the template version 3.0 as of 2023-02-20, that was approved for use by ACAB-c.